

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,282	01/08/2001	Sheng-Hsiung Chen	TS99-149B	6859
28112 7	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER MITCHELL, JAMES M	
GÉORGE O. 28 DAVIS AV	SAILE & ASSOCIAT	ES		
and the second s	SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
	* *		DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/755,282	CHEN, SHENG-HSIUN	IG ·		
Havioory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James M. Mitchell	2827	3 -		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sh et with the c	orrespondence addres	s		
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicati	to a on in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened o	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 is on and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I 36(a) and the appropriate extensions.	MPEP tension fee ion fee under		
 above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may	y reduce any		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the p 'R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (period set forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by		or the appear.	•		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below)			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note)		,,			
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	plifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and ow or appended.	d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 0. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>) kam	AND CUNEO			
	SUPERVISOR	Y PATENT EXAMINER			
Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLO	GY CENTER 2800	- <u>-</u> -		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 1103)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 0528

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 009/755,282

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments raise new issues and would require undue further consideration and /or search (i.e. tantalum nitride under copper pad and "passivating layer forms said interlocking grid").

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the request for consideration is directed to the unentered amendment and on cursory consideration the request does not otherwise appear to overcome the rejections. Furthermore if entered, the amendmentstill would create a 112 rejection in claim 39, because claim 39 would not further limit the independent claim, 34

Continuation of 10. Other: While applicant in its amendment filed May 13, 2004 asserts allowaibility basesd on examiner's suggestion, examiner has never made suggestions regarding patentability.